Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—So Government do not throw open to public for contribution to shares?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—It is quite possible.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—What may be the total amount that will be contributed by our Government; by the Central Government, by the Reserve Bank and by the public?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—I cannot say anything as to how much of it will be set apart for Mysore.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Next question. Janab J. Mahomed Imam.

Janab MOHAMED VALIULLA (Kolar—Muslim):—I have got a supplementary question to ask on the previous question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—When I have called the next question, I cannot allow any further supplementaries on the previous question.

Crushing of sugarcane under Visvesvaraya Canal in 1948 and 1949.

*Q.—25. **Mushir-ul-Mulk J. MAHOMED IMAM** (Chitaldrug *cum* Tumkur—Muslim).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the area of sugarcane under Visvesvaraya Canal both of 1948 and 1949;

(*b*) of this, how many acres of sugarcane was crushed for manufacturing sugar and how many acres for jaggery;

(*c*) the number of acres of sugarcane dried up or destroyed as a result of the drying up of Krishnaraja Sagar;

(*d*) the total loss incurred by the raiyats?

A.—**Sri H. C. DASAPPA** (Minister for Finance and Industries).—

	1948	*1949*
	Acres.	*Acres*
(*a*)—	8,791	8,251
(*b*) Manufacture of sugar ...	5,703	303
Manufacture of jaggery	1,755	47

(*c*) and (*d*) A committee is constituted by Government for this purpose. The matter is under investigation.

ಜನಾಬ್ ಜೆ. ಮಹಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂರವರು.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ 8,251 ಎಕರೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 1949ನೆಯ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆಗಳ ಕಬ್ಬನ್ನು 'ಕ್ರಷ್' ಮಾಡಿದಿರಿ?

***Sri H. C. DASAPPA.**—The question of 1949 is with reference to plantings, not of 1948. So the crushings in 1949 are not indicated there.

Janab J. MAHOMED IMAM.—How many acres were under sugarcane cultivation?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Eight thousand, two hundred and fifty-one acres.

ಜನಾಬ್ ಜೆ. ಮಹಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂರವರು.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆಗಳ ಕಬ್ಬು 'ಕ್ರಷ್' ಆಯಿತು?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Three hundred and three. The rest will be crushed in future.

ಜನಾಬ್ ಜೆ. ಮಹಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂರವರು.—ಬಾಕಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—No, you cannot crush the cane that is planted that year. It has to grow and become mature for crushing and that takes fourteen months.

ಜನಾಬ್ ಜೆ. ಮಹಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂರವರು.—ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜಸಾಗರ ಒಣಗಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಒಟ್ಟು ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಕಬ್ಬು ಒಣಗಿ ಹೋಯಿತೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಏನೂ ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ತನಿಖೆ ನಡೆಸಿ ಏನೂ ಕಾರ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—The whole matter is under review by this Committee.

ಜನಾಬ್ ಜೆ. ಮಹಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂರವರು.—ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೇನಾದರೂ ಹೋಗಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಒಣಗಿಹೋಗಿದೆಯೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—The Revenue Commissioner is the Chairman. So it is not a non-official committee. It is a committee where officials are fully represented. They will collect all the relevant facts.

Janab J. MAHOMED IMAM.—Who is the Government's agent to go round the Irwin Canal area and find out how many acres of sugarcane have been dried up?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—The revenue authorities are the primary authorities. The Agricultural Department and the Sugar Company will co-operate.

Janab J. MAHOMED IMAM.—Have they taken any steps so far to collect this information?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Well, they must have taken steps; I cannot say definitely.

Janab J. MAHOMED IMAM.—Have Government received any information on this fact so far?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Notice.

ಜನಾಬ್ ಜೆ. ಮಹಮದ್ ಇಮಾಂರವರು.—ಮಂಡ್ಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶಕ್ಕೆ ಅನೇಕ ಖಾಸಗಿ ಜನರು ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು ಹೋಗಿದ್ದರು. ಹಾಗೆ ಹೋದವರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾರಾದರೂ ವರದಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ? ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಕರೆ ಒಣಗಿಹೋಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಯಾರಾದರೂ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—None that I know of the actual number of acres.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪನವರು (ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗಾ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ).—ನೀರಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಕಬ್ಬು ಒಣಗಿದಾಗ ಬೆಲ್ಲ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶಕೊಡಿ ಎಂದು ಯಾರಾದರೂ ರೈತರು ಕೇಳಿದರೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ದಾನಪ್ಪನವರು.—ಕೇಳಿದರು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪನವರು.—ಹಾಗೆ ಕೇಳಿದರೂ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಕೆಲವರು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅದು ನಿಜವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ದಾಸಪ್ಪನವರು.—ಹಿಂದೆಯೇ ಆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಿದರು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Mysore District—General).—Are not the Deputy Commissioners expected to send in reports when things of such a kind happen?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—They are generally expected to send.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Has the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District reported as to the acreage which has been affected adversely for want of water?

Sri H. C. DASAPPA.—Notice.

Acquisition of Survey No. 1553 in Chiknayakanahalli Kasaba for Chiknayakanahalli Town Extension.

Q.—49. **Sri T. SUBRAMANYA** (Tumkur District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether Survey No. 155/3 in Chiknayakanahalli Kasaba has been ordered to be acquired for the purpose of Chiknayakanahalli Town extension;

(*b*) whether the Chiknayakanahalli Town Municipality passed a resolution on 30th November 1945 saying that this Survey Number need not be acquired as the Deputy Commissioner had opined that the land was at a low level;

(*c*) whether the said municipality has permitted the conversion of the land in favour of the holders of land;

(*d*) whether in pursance of the said resolution conversion fee of Rs. 1,509-8-0 has been collected by the Government from the three holders;

(*e*) whether the land was converted then; if not, why not;

(*f*) whether the municipality passed a resolution on 25th April 1948 authorising the conversion of the said land;

(*g*) whether the meeting of the Municipal Council held on 25th April 1948 was a special meeting or an ordinary meeting;

(*h*) whether notices were issued to the councillors for the said meeting;

(*i*) if the answer is in the affirmative, whether any reference is available in the municipal office;

(*j*) if notices were issued, will the Government cancel the acquisition order on the basis of the said resolution?

A.—**Sri R. CHENNIGARAMIAH** Minister for Local Self-Government).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The party concerned has written to say that the Chicknayakanahalli Town Municipal Council have passed a resolution on 30th November 1945. But he has not produced a certified copy of the said resolution. The information is not readily available.

(*c*) No information is available on this point.

(*d*) According to an uncertified copy of the statement produced by the party, the amount said to have been paid by him towards the conversion of the land is Rs. 214-1-6. It is not known how the sum of Rs. 1,509-8-0 is made up and how much the two other holders of land have paid. Full information on the subject is awaited.